

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,189	09/13/2000	Hannes Eberle	53470.000038	8016	
29315	7590 04/11/2003				
*	MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC			EXAMINER	
12010 SUNSE SUITE 900	RESTON, VA 20190		NOLAN, DANIEL A		
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655	bo 11	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	7316	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ //
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/661,189	EBERLE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Nolan	2655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIDE 2 M	IONTH(S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a lifty within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common than the mailing date of this common than the mailing date of the common than the comm	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 i	<u>March 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			merits is
· <u> </u>	•		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 			
•	wit from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 7-20 is/are rejected.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2002</u> is/a	<u></u>	bjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			onlication)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			pplication).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *		
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 09/661,189 Page 2

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 14 March 2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Logan et al & Perrone

- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan *et al* (U.S. Patent 5,721,827) in view of Perrone (U.S. Patent 6,157,705).
- 5. Regarding claims 1 and 11, Logan et al (Abstract) reads on the features, as:
 - Sensing a voice input command from the subscriber (18th line).
 - Selecting at least one of a plurality of voice messages to deliver (20th line).
 - A Content Delivery Module communicating with the Input Module to select messages to deliver to the subscriber (column 1 lines 39-42) according to command (the "express request" of column 1 line 47).

While <u>Logan et al</u> might appear to "teach against" *initiating communication with* subscribers, he discloses the capability and describes precisely such an operation on a regular basis to notify of certain conditions (column 22 lines 60-63). Further, while it would appear to be obvious that this would be advantageous operation, <u>Logan et al</u>

Art Unit: 2655

does not mention that this command dialog would occur during the voice service session.

To offset against the possible ambiguity of the reference, further prior art of reference is provided with the *voice control of a server* from <u>Perrone</u> disclosing such an operation as the normal operation in an operational example (Abstract, lines 10-end), reading on the feature of a call server initiating (1st two lines col 13) an outbound communication (col 15 lns 37-43) to a voice service subscriber to commence a voice service session.

- <u>Perrone</u> further discloses that the voice input command would occur *during the* voice service session (depicted by the uninterrupted voice communication session of figure 4, repeated in the last line of column 16).
- It would been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing at the time of the invention to apply the method/teachings of Perrone to the device/method of Logan et al so as to permit operation without unwieldy input and output keys and screens.
- 6. Regarding claims 2 and 12; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 1 and 11, respectively. Logan et al discloses that the sound card contains an A/D converter (column 3 line 24).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/661,189

Art Unit: 2655

- 7. Regarding claims 3 and 13; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 1 and 12, respectively. Logan *et al* discloses that the sound card contains an *A/D converter* (column 4 line 22).
- 8. Regarding claims 4 and 14; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 1 and 13, respectively. Logan et al discloses the feature of a communicating with the input module and the content delivery module and identifying the digital voice data as at least one of a plurality of predetermined commands (as in column 32 line 27).
- 9. Regarding claims 5 and 15; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 4 and 14, respectively. Logan et al discloses the feature of presenting voice message content according to the digital voice data (as in column 10 line 48).
- 10. Regarding claims 6 and 16; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 5 and 15, respectively. Logan et al discloses the feature of at least one voice command prompt to query voice input from the subscriber (as in column 12 line 50).
- 11. Regarding claims 7 and 17; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 6 and 16, respectively. Logan et al (column 15 line 21) discloses the feature of a sequence of voice command prompts.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,189 Page 6

Art Unit: 2655

12. Regarding claims 8 and 18; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 7 and 17, respectively. Logan et al (in the Abstract) discloses the feature of a set of voice command prompts adaptively presented according to the digital voice data (in lines (lines 6-16).

- 13. Regarding claims 9 and 19; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 1 and 11, respectively. Logan et al (column 10 line 9) reads on the feature of authenticating the subscriber for receipt of the voice messages.
- 14. Regarding claims 10 and 20; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 9 and 19, respectively. Logan et al (column 10 line 13) reads on the feature that the authentication comprises at least one of PIN verification and voice identification.

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Daniel A. Nolan at telephone (703) 305-1368 whose normal business hours are Mon, Tue, Thu & Fri, from 7 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To, can be reached at (703) 305-4827.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,189

Art Unit: 2655

Page 7

The fax phone number for Technology Center 2600 is (703) 872-9314. Label informal and draft communications as "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED", & designate formal communications as "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE".

Formal response to this action may be faxed according to the above instructions,

or mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or hand-delivered to:

Crystal Park 2,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA,

Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at telephone number (703) 306-0377.

Daniel A. Nolan Examiner Art Unit 2655

DAN/d

March 30, 2003

DORIS H. TO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600